



## Part III Numerical Methods

### Discretization Methods

discretization method = PDE formulation + approximating class

- finite element method (VF + FE space)

$$(FE) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Find } u_h \in V_{h,g} = H^1(I) \cap S_1^0(\Delta) \text{ s.t.} \\ & a(u_h, v) = f(v) \quad \forall v \in V_{h,0} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$V_{h,g} = \left\{ v \in S_1^0(\Delta) \mid v|_{\Gamma_D} = g \right\}, \quad \Gamma_D \in \{a, b\}.$$

the corresponding algebraic equation

$$\bullet \quad u_h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i \varphi_i(x) \in V_h = \text{span} \left\{ \varphi_i(x) \right\}_{i=1}^N$$

$$\bullet \quad f(v) \text{ is linear} \Leftrightarrow f(\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2) = \alpha_1 f(v_1) + \alpha_2 f(v_2)$$

- $a(u, v)$  is bilinear  $\Leftrightarrow a(u, v)$  is linear w.r.t.  $u$  and  $v$ .

$$(FE) \Rightarrow f(v) = a(u_h, v) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i \cdot a(\varphi_i, v) \quad \forall v \in V_{h,0}$$

$$\underbrace{v = \varphi_j}_{j=1 \dots N} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N u_i \cdot a(\varphi_i, \varphi_j) = f(\varphi_j) \quad \text{for } j=1, \dots, N.$$

$$\Rightarrow A_{N \times N} U_{N \times 1} = F_{N \times 1}$$

where  $U = (u_1, \dots, u_N)^T$ ,  $F = (f(\varphi_1), \dots, f(\varphi_N))^T$ ,

$$A = \left( a_{ij} \right)_{N \times N} \quad \text{with } a_{ij} = a(\varphi_j, \varphi_i).$$

## Questions

(1) existence, uniqueness, stability ?

(2) error estimate :  $\|u - u_h\|_a \leq ?$

(3) algebraic solvers ?

(4) how to choose the partition  $\Delta$  ?

- NN method

$$M_n = \left\{ c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sigma(x - b_i) \mid c_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

### Properties of $M_n$

(1)  $n+1$  linear parameters  $\{c_i\}_{i=0}^n$

$n$  nonlinear parameters  $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$

(2) Assume that  $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is fixed and distinct.

Then  $\{1, \sigma(x - b_1), \dots, \sigma(x - b_n)\}$  is l. indep.

### Proof (Liu-Cai-Chen, CAMA 2022)

•  $\{1, \sigma(x - b_1)\}$  is l. indep because  $\sigma(x - b_1) \equiv 0 \quad \forall x \in (-\infty, b_1)$

• assume  $\{1, \sigma(x - b_1), \dots, \sigma(x - b_k)\}$  are l. indep.

•  $c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i \sigma(x - b_i) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \sigma(x - b_i) \equiv 0 \quad \forall x < b_{k+1} \Rightarrow c_i = 0 \quad \#$

(3)  $M_n$  is a set but not a linear space.

Let  $v_k(x) = c_0^{(k)} + \sum_i c_i^{(k)} \sigma(x - b_i^{(k)})$  for  $k=1, 2$ .

assume that there exists  $j$  s.t.  $b_j^{(1)} \neq b_j^{(2)}$

$$\Rightarrow v_1 + v_2 \text{ has at least } n+1 \text{ breaking pts}$$

$$\Rightarrow v_1 + v_2 \notin M_n.$$

- NN-based methods

(1) based on the energy minimization formulation

deep Ritz, finite neuron, Ritz net, ...

(2) based on the least-squares formulations

PINN, LSNN, ...

least-squares neural network (LSNN) method

Find  $u_n \in M_n$  s.t.

$$\mathcal{L}(u_n; f) = \min_{v \in M_n} \mathcal{L}(v; f).$$

# Homework Problems (Brenner & Scott)

Chapter 0 #2, 3, 8

#2. Derive variational formulation of BVP  $\begin{cases} -u'' + u = f \text{ in } (0,1) \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0. \end{cases}$

#3. Derive variational formulation of BVP  $\begin{cases} -u' = f \text{ in } (0,1) \\ u'(0) = 0, u'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$

Explain why (BVP) and (VP) are not well-posed.

#8. Prove that (BVP)  $\begin{cases} -u'' = f \text{ in } (0,1) \\ u(0) = 0, u'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$  has a solution  $u \in C^2([0,1])$  provided  $f \in C^0([0,1])$ .

$$(\text{Hint: } u(x) = \int_0^x \left( \int_s^1 f(t) dt \right) ds)$$

Chapter 1 #1, 3

#1. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is bounded and that  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ .

Prove that  $L^{\frac{q}{p}}(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$ .

#3. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is bounded and that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|f_j - f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 0$ .

$$\text{Prove } \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_j(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x) dx.$$

